OCT | 2001

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REOUESTED

Jet Info Systems International 4 144 N. Central Expressway Suite 660 Dallas, Texas 752 19-23 10

Attn: Eugene Shablygin

Chief Executive Officer

Dear Mr. Shablygin:

The Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (BXA), hereby charges that, as described below, Jet Info Systems International (hereinafter referred to as "Jet USA") has violated the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (200 1)) (the Regulations),' issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. app. §§ 2401-2420 (1991 & Supp. 2001)) (the Act).'

¹ The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1996 and 1997 versions of the Code of Federal Regulations, (15 C.F.R. Parts 768-799 (1996), as amended (61 **Fed. Reg.** 12714, March 25, 1996) (hereinafter "the former Regulations")), and 1.5 C.F.R. Parts 768-799 (1997)). The March **25**, 1996 **Federal Register** publication redesignated, but did not republish, the then-existing Regulations as 15 C.F.R. Parts 768A-799A. As an interim measure that was part of the transition to newly restructured and reorganized Regulations, the March **25**, 1996 **Federal Register** publication also restructured and reorganized the Regulations, designating them as an interim rule at 15 C. F.R. Parts 730-774, effective April 24, 1996. The former Regulations and the Regulations define the various violations that BXA alleges occurred. The Regulations establish the procedures that apply to this matter,

² From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations then in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. A.§§ 1701 - 1706 (1991 & Supp. 2001)) (IEEPA). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001. the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17,200 1 (66 *Fed. Reg.* 44025 (August 22, 2001)), has continued the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

Facts constituting violations:

Charge 1

On or about September 24, 1996, IBM Deutschland Informationssysteme GmbH reexported 16 RS/6000 Model 7013-591computers from Germany to the Netherlands. On or about October 3, 1996, Jet USA reexported the computers from the Netherlands to the Russian Federal Nuclear Center, Russian Research Institute of Experimental Physics (Arzamas-16), Russia. No individual validated license was obtained for the reexport as required by Section 778A.4(b) of the former Regulations. The RS/6000 Model 7013-59 l computers were manufactured abroad, but were subject to the former Regulations and required BXA authorization under Section 779A.8(a)(3) of the former Regulations. BXA alleges that by reexporting commodities to any person or to any destination in violation of or contrary to the provisions of the Act or any regulation, order or license issued thereunder, Jet USA violated Section 787A.6 of the former Regulations.

Charge 2

In connection with the reexport referenced in Charge I above, Jet USA knew or had reason to know that an individual validated license was not obtained for the reexport. BXA alleges, that by transferring commodities subject to the Regulations, with knowledge or reason to know that a violation of the Act or any regulation, order or license issued thereunder has occurred, was about to occur, or was intended to occur, Jet USA violated Section 787A.4 of the former Regulations.

Charge 3

On or about December 27, 1996, IBM Deutschland Informationssysteme GmbH reexported a RS/6000 SP2 Model 9076-308 computer from Germany to the Netherlands. On or about February 21, 1997, Jet USA reexported the computer from the Netherlands to Arzamas- 16. No license was obtained for the reexport. The RS/6000 SP2 Model 9076-308 computer was manufactured abroad, but was subject to the Regulations and required BXA license under Section 734.3 of the Regulations. BXA alleges that, by engaging conduct prohibited by the Act, the Regulations, or any order, license or authorization issued thereunder, Jet USA violated Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

Charge 4

In connection with the reexport referenced in Charge 3 above, JET USA knew or had reason to know that a license was not obtained for the reexport. BXA alleges that, by selling or transferring commodities subject to the Regulations, knowing or having reason to know that a violation of the Act. the Regulations, or any order, license or authorization issued thereunder, has occurred, was about to occur, or was intended to occur, Jet USA violated Section 764.2(e) of the Regulations.

BXA alleges that Jet USA committed one violation each of Sections 787A.4 and 787A.6 of the former Regulations and one violation each of Sections 764.2(a) and 764.2(e) of the Regulations for a total of four violations.

Accordingly, Jet USA is hereby notified that an administrative proceeding is instituted against it pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Act and Part 766 of the Regulations for the purpose of obtaining an order imposing administrative sanctions, including any or all of the following:

The maximum civil penalty allowed by law of \$10,000 per violation (see Section 764.3(a)(1) of the Regulations);

Denial of export privileges (see Section 764.3(a)(2) of the Regulations); and/or

Exclusion from practice before BXA (see Section 764.3(a)(3) of the Regulations).

Copies of relevant Parts of the Regulations are enclosed.

If Jet USA fails to answer the charges contained in this letter within 30 days after being served with notice of issuance of this letter as provided in Section 766.6 of the Regulations, that failure will be treated as a default under Section 766.7. Jet USA is further notified that it is entitled to an agency hearing on the record as provided by Section 13(c) of the Act and Section 766.6 of the Regulations, if a written demand for one is filed with its answer, to be represented by counsel, and to seek a consent settlement.

Pursuant to an Interagency Agreement between BXA and the U.S. Coast Guard, the U.S. Coast Guard is providing administrative law judge services, to the extent that such services are required under the Regulations, in connection with the matters set forth in this letter. Accordingly, Jet USA's answer should be filed with the U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 S. Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 2 1202-4022, in accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(a) of the Regulations. In addition, a copy of Jet USA's answer should be served on BXA at the address set forth in Section 766.5(b), adding "ATTENTION: Mi-Yong Kim, Esq., Room 3839" below the address and all communication with BXA concerning this matter should be directed to Ms. Kim. Ms. Kim may be contacted by telephone at (202) 482-53 11.

Sincerely,

Mark D. Menefee

Director

Office of Export Enforcement

Muchallange

Enclosures

³ The maximum penalty for any violation committed after October 23, 1996 is \$11,000 per violation. See 15 C.F.R. § 6.4(a)(3)(2001).

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230

In the Matter of:)	
Jet Info Systems International)	
3 100 Carlisle Street)	
Suite 108) 01	-BXA-16
Dallas, Texas 75204)	
)	
)	
Resnondent.		

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Jet Info Systems

International ("Jet USA") and the Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of
Commerce ("BIS"), pursuant to Section 766.18(b) of the Export Administration Regulations (15

C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2002)) ("Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act
of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) ("Act").*

The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1996 and 1997 versions of the Code of Federal Regulations, (15 C.F.R. Parts 768-799 (1996), as amended (61 Fed. *Reg.* 12714, March 25, 1996) (hereinafter "the former Regulations")), and 15 C.F.R. Parts 768-799 (1997)). The March 25, 1996 Federal Register publication redesignated, but did not republish, the then-existing Regulations as 15 C.F.R. Parts 768A-799A. As an interim measure that was part of the transition to newly restructured and reorganized Regulations, *the* March 25, 1996 Federal Register publication also restructured and reorganized the Regulations, designating them as an interim rule at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774, effective April 24, 1996. The former Regulations and the Regulations define the various violations that BIS alleges occurred. The Regulations establish the procedures that apply to this matter.

From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701 - 1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA"). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20,200 1. Since August 2 1,200 1, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the

WHEREAS, BIS has initiated an administrative proceeding against Jet USA pursuant to the Act and the Regulations;

WHEREAS, BIS has issued a charging letter alleging that Jet USA committed one violation of each Sections 787A.4 and 787A.6 of the former Regulations and Sections 764.2(a) and 764.2(e) of the Regulations; specifically, Jet USA shipped computers from Germany to the Netherlands, and from the Netherlands to the Russian Federal Nuclear Center, Russian Research Institute of Experimental Physics (Arzamas-16), Russia, without obtaining the necessary license for the shipments, and that Jet USA knew or had reason to know that such license was not obtained;

WHEREAS, Jet USA has reviewed the charging letter and is aware of the allegations made against it and the administrative sanctions which could be imposed against it if the allegations are found to be true;

WHEREAS, Jet USA fully understands the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Order of the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement implementing this Settlement Agreement ("Order");

WHEREAS, Jet USA enters into this Settlement Agreement voluntarily and with full knowledge of its rights;

WHEREAS, Jet USA states that no promises or representations have been made to it other than the agreements and considerations herein expressed;

Notice of August 14, 2002 (67 Fed. Reg. 53721 (August 16, 2002)), has continued the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

WHEREAS, Jet USA neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the charging letter;

WHEREAS, Jet USA wishes to settle and dispose of all matters alleged in the charging letter by entering into this Settlement Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Jet USA agrees to be bound by the Order, when entered;

NOW THEREFORE, Jet USA and BIS agree as follows:

- 1. BIS has jurisdiction over Jet USA, under the Regulations, in connection with the matters alleged in the charging letter.
- 2. BIS and Jet USA agree that the following sanctions shall be imposed against Jet USA in complete settlement of the alleged violations of the Regulations set forth in the charging letter:
 - a. Jet USA shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of \$40,000 which shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days from the date of entry of the Order.
 - b. Jet USA and all of its successors or assigns, and, when acting for or on behalf of Jet USA, its officers, representatives, agents or employees may not, for a period of two years from the date of entry of the Order, participate, directly or indirectly, in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

- Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;
- ii. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or
- Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.
- c. BIS agrees that, as authorized by Section 766.18(c) of the Regulations, the two year denial period set forth in paragraph 2(b) shall be suspended for a period of two years from the entry of the appropriate Order, and shall thereafter be waived, provided that during the period of suspension, Jet USA has committed no violation of the Act or any regulation, order or license issued thereunder, and, provided further that Jet USA has made timely payment of the \$40,000 civil penalty assessed pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and the Order.
- 3. Jet USA agrees that, subject to the approval of this Settlement Agreement pursuant to paragraph 8 hereof, it hereby waives all rights to further procedural steps in this matter (except with respect to any alleged violations of this Settlement Agreement or the Order, when entered), including, without limitation, any right: (a) to an administrative hearing

Settlement Agreement Jet USA Page 5 of 6

regarding the allegations in the charging letter; (b) to request a refund of any civil penalty paid pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and the Order, when entered; and (c) to seek judicial review or otherwise to contest the validity of this Settlement Agreement or the Order, when entered.

- 4. BIS agrees that, upon entry of the Order, it will not initiate any administrative proceeding against Jet USA in connection with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising out of the transactions identified in the charging letter.
- 5. Jet USA understands that BIS will make the charging letter, this Settlement Agreement, and the Order, when entered, available to the public.
- 6. BIS and Jet USA agree that this Settlement Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Therefore, if this Settlement Agreement is not accepted and an Order is not issued by the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement pursuant to Section 766.18(b) of the Regulations, BIS and Jet USA agree that they may not use this Settlement Agreement in any administrative or judicial proceeding and that neither party shall be bound by the terms contained in this Settlement Agreement in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding.
- 7. No agreement, understanding, representation or interpretation not contained in this Settlement Agreement may be used to vary or otherwise affect the terms of this Settlement Agreement or the Order, when entered, nor shall this Settlement Agreement serve to bind, constrain, or otherwise limit any action by any other agency or department of the United States Government with respect to the facts and circumstances addressed herein.

Settlement Agreement Jet USA Page 6 of 6

- 8. This Settlement Agreement shall become binding on BIS only when the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement approves it by entering an Order, which will have the same force and effect as a decision and order issued after a full administrative hearing on the record.
- 9. Each signatory affirms that he has authority to enter into this Settlement Agreement and to bind his respective party to the terms **and conditions** set forth herein.

BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

at the life

Jon A. Dyck Chief Counsel Office of Chief Co

Office of Chief Counsel for Industry and Security

Date: "/21/02

JET INFO SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL

Eugene S hablygin Chief Executive Officer

Date: 11/15/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230

In the Matter of:)	
Jet Info Systems International)	
3 100 Carlisle Street)	
Suite 108) O1-BXA-1	6
Dallas, Texas 75204)	
)	
)	
Resnondent.)	
	<u>ORDE</u> R	

The Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS"), having initiated an administrative proceeding against Jet Info Systems International, 3 100 Carlisle Street, Suite 108, Dallas, Texas 75204 ("Jet USA"), pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) ("Act"), and the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2002)) ("Regulations"), 2 based on allegations that Jet USA committed one violation of each

¹ From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701 - 1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA"). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 14, 2002 (67 Fed Reg. 53721 (August 16, 2002)), has continued the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

² The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1996 and 1997 versions of the Code of Federal Regulations, (15 C.F.R. Parts 768-799 (1996), as amended (61 *Fed. Reg.* 12714, March 25, 1996) (hereinafter "the former Regulations")), and 15 C.F.R. Parts 768-799 (1997)). *The* March 25, 1996 *Federal Register* publication redesignated, but did not republish, the then-existing Regulations as 15 C.F.R. Parts 768A-799A. As an interim measure that was part of the transition to newly restructured and reorganized Regulations, the March 25, 1996 *Federal Register* publication also restructured and reorganized the Regulations, designating them

Order Jet USA Page 2 of 5

Sections 787A.4 and 787A.6 of the former Regulations and Sections 764.2(a) and 764.2(e) of the Regulations; specifically, Jet USA shipped computers from Germany to the Netherlands, and from the Netherlands to the Russian Federal Nuclear Center, Russian Research Institute of Experimental Physics (Arzamas-16), Russia, without obtaining the necessary license for the shipments, and that Jet USA knew or had reason to know that such license was not obtained; and

BIS and Jet USA having entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(b) of the Regulations whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein, and the terms of the Settlement Agreement having been approved by me;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

FIRST, that a civil penalty of \$40,000 is assessed against Jet USA, which shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days from the date of entry of this Order. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

SECOND, that, pursuant to the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. §§3701-3720E (2000)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and, if payment is not made by the due date specified herein, Jet USA shall be assessed, in addition to the full amount of the civil penalty and interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

THIRD, that for a period of two years from the date of this Order, Jet USA, its successors

as an interim rule at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774, effective April 24, 1996. The former Regulations and the Regulations define the various violations that BXA alleges occurred. The Regulations establish the procedures that apply to this matter.

or assigns, and when acting for or on behalf of Jet USA, its officers, representatives, agents or employees ("denied person") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software, or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

- A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;
- B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or
- C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

FOURTH, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

- A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the Regulations;
- B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including

- financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;
- C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;
- D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or
- E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

FIFTH, that after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Jet USA by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

SIXTH, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the

Order Jet USA Page 5 of 5

foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

SEVENTH, that, as authorized by Section 766.18(c) of the Regulations, the denial period set forth above shall be suspended in its entirety for two years from the date of this Order, and shall thereafter be waived, provided that during the period of suspension, Jet USA has committed no violation of the Act or any regulation, order or license issued thereunder, and, provided further, that Jet USA has made timely payment of the civil penalty as provided herein

EIGHTH, that a copy of this Order shall be delivered to the United States Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 212024022, notifying that office that this case is withdrawn from adjudication, as provided by Section 766.18 of the Regulations.

NINTH, that the charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce

for Export Enforcement

Entered this 4th day of Description